

Abusing T_EX: `custom-bib` as an example

Patrick W. Daly
Max-Planck-Institut für Aeronomie
`daly@linmpi.mpg.de`

March 11, 2003

Abstract Although T_EX is essentially a typesetting program, there are a number of “mis-uses” of it to accomplish what could be called off-topic programming. The most complex example of this is no doubt the `fontinst` bundle, which creates the `.tfm` and `.vf` metric and virtual font files for PostScript fonts. Another service routine written in T_EX with no `.dvi` output is `docstrip`, which is part of the kernel L^AT_EX installation, and which is vital for that installation. Originally `docstrip` was intended as a utility to remove comments from installation source files, but it now contains an even more powerful feature: it can customize the output code according to preselected options, and it can combine code from several source files.

It was this property that I employed to simplify an old problem with B_IB T_EX: that every publisher uses his own list of arbitrary formatting rules, and it is not easy to write new `.bst` files to meet these demands. Thus I wrote a generalized *master bibliography style*, or `.mbs` file, containing some 50 options for alternative bibliography style points, to be converted to a `.bst` file with `docstrip`. Today, my `merlin.mbs` claims well over 100 options.

The more complicated part of the `custom-bib` bundle, however, is interfacing with the user, to manage the myriad choices, and to generate a `docstrip` batch file to do the actual conversion. This required yet another pseudo-program in T_EX language, `makebst` which examines all the available options in the `.mbs` file, offers them to the user interactively, prepares the batch file, writes a protocol (for future changes of mind), and even runs the batch file. Without this, `merlin.mbs` would be totally unmanageable; it is the tamer of the wizard.

Such utilities written in the T_EX language are guaranteed to run on all systems where T_EX is installed. Any other program language would involve problems of platform compatibilities and portability. This advantage outweighs the fact that as a programming language *per se*, T_EX is a monster.